Environmental Product Declaration

Typical Western Red Cedar Decking

This Type III environmental declaration is developed according to ISO 21930 and 14025 for average cedar siding products manufactured by the members of the Western Red Cedar Lumber Association. This environmental product declaration (EPD) reports environmental impacts based on established life cycle impact assessment (LCA) methods. The reported environmental impacts are estimates, and their level of accuracy may differ for a particular product line and reported impact. LCAs do not generally address site-specific environmental issues related to resource extraction or toxic effects of products on human health. Unreported environmental impacts include (but are not limited to) factors attributable to human health, land use change and habitat destruction. Forest certification systems and government regulations address some of these issues. The products in this EPD conform to: timber harvesting and silvicultural regulation of British Columbia (BC) and forest certification schemes (Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), Sustainable Forestry initiative (SFI)). EPDs do not report product environmental performance against any benchmark.

Re-Issued February 2018
Valid until February 2023



WESTERN RED CEDAR LUMBER ASSOCIATION
REALCEDAR
WRCLA

FPInnovations®

Manufacturer Information

This EPD addresses products from multiple manufacturers and represents an average for the membership of the Western Red Cedar Lumber Association (WRCLA), a non-profit trade association representing manufacturers of western red cedar products. This average is based on a sample that included three remanufacturing mills (two in BC and one in Washington, US), which represented 18% of industry production in 2014. These data are combined with Athena Sustainable Materials Institute western red cedar resource extraction inventory updated using recent in-house coastal harvesting data, a survey of cedar nursery production in BC, and CORRIM (The Consortium for Research on Renewable Industrial Materials) forest management data.



Product Description

Wood decking is a board-type product horizontally applied in a load-carrying capacity and as the final surfacing for an outdoor flat surface attached to a house and typically elevated above the ground. A decking product in the most common size is modeled for this EPD.

- Typical board size: $^{5}/_{4}$" x 6" (31.75 mm x 152.4 mm)
- Grade: Average
- Product composition (on the basis of 1 m^2 installed decking with a 25-year service life):
  - Western red cedar lumber: 8.38 kg (oven-dry basis) (0.0247m^3)
  - Optional coating
  - Stain: 1.25 litres
  - Fasteners (2½" galvanized nails, No 8 or 10): 0.1 kg per 1 m^2 installed decking
- Installed and used according to Western Red Cedar Lumber Association specifications (See https://www.realcedar.com/decking/installation/) Base case is an uncoated deck. An alternate scenario has regular applications of a stain coating.

Scope: Cradle-to-grave.

Functional unit: 1m^2 of decking assumed installed over a wood substructure.

Service life: 25 years.

System boundary: Life cycle activities from resource extraction through product use for a 25-year life span inclusive of maintenance, replacement and end-of-life effects. Wood-framed deck substitute is excluded as it is common to other decking types.

Geographic boundary: North America.

Life Cycle Assessment

Life cycle assessment (LCA) is a rigorous study of inputs and outputs over the entire life of a product or process and the associated environmental impact of those flows to and from nature. The underlying LCA supporting this EPD was performed by FPInnovations for WRCLA in 2017 and was third-party peer-reviewed by three member panel comprised of Dr. Tom Gloria from Industrial Ecology Consultants (chair), Dr. Lindita Bushi from Athena Sustainable Materials Institute and James Salazar from Coldstream Consulting.The LCA study collected primary data from western red cedar lumber operations in 2015 for the production year 2014.

The system boundary includes all the production steps from extraction of raw materials from the earth (the cradle) through to final fate of the product at the end of its service life (the grave). See Figure 1. The boundary includes the transportation of major inputs to, and within, each activity stage including the shipment of products to a hypothetical building site location in North America and eventual transportation to landfill. The city of Minneapolis, MN was chosen as the typical building location, as a central location in North America.

This study followed the information modules defined in the wood products PCR:

- A1 – extraction (removal) of raw materials and processing;
- A2 – transportation of raw materials from an extraction site to a manufacturing site;
- A3 – manufacturing of the wood construction product, including packaging;
- A4 – construction stage (building product transport to construction site);
- A5 – installation;
- the use-phase (B1 use and B2 maintenance);
- end-of-life processes (C1, deconstruction, dismantling/demolition, C2, transport from building site to waste processing, and C4, disposal).

Ancillary materials and other materials such as coatings, fasteners and packaging are included

Figure 1. System boundary and process flows



in the boundary unless below the cut-off criteria. Mass or energy flows are excluded if they account for less than 1% of model flows and less than 2% of life cycle impacts in all categories. Human activity and capital equipment are excluded. For the use phase, the use of water and cleaning solutions is common to all decking types and is excluded.

Twenty-five years is the expected life span for cedar decking according to WRCLA. This figure is supported by expert opinion, anecdotal evidence and product warranty claims. The base case deck is uncoated (no stain is applied and the deck is allowed to take on a natural weathered appearance). An alternate scenario is modeled that includes a stain application at installation and a re-application every three years there after. The life span of this scenerio is assumed to be the same as unstained.

End-of-life assumptions

It is common for construction and demolition (C&D) debris to end up in landfill – the US EPA estimates that 50% of construction and demolition debris is directed to landfills and 50% is recovered (US EPA, 2009). A review conducted by Bratkovich et.al., (2014) estimates the same landfilling and recovery rates for C&D wood waste generated in 2010. While considering the reported C&D waste estimates in these two studies, it is assumed that 50% of decking ends up in a nearby landfill and the remaining 50% is used for energy recovery at the end-of-life.

Based on the data available in the USEPA Landfill Methane Outreach Program database , it was estimated that about 58% of landfills are equipped with landfill gas (LFG) collection systems. Collection systems operate at an average well density of 1 well/ 4000 m^2 and result in the capture of 75% of emitted LFG while 25% enters the atmosphere (Themelis and Ulloa, 2007). After capture, landfill gas is either openly flared or combusted with energy recovery and thus avoiding the combustion of fossil fuels by providing heat for direct use or electricity generation. The study estimated that about 16% landfill gas captured was flared and the remainder used for energy recovery.

See https://www.epa.gov/lmop/landfill-gas-energy-project-data-and-landfill-technical-data#landfills

Environmental Performance

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's TRACI (Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and other Environmental Impacts) life cycle impact assessment methodology is used to characterize the flows to and from the environment. Energy and material resource consumption, waste, and impacts per functional unit of cedar decking are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Impact measures shown are global warming potential (GWP), acidification potential, eutrophication potential, smog potential, and ozone depletion potential. Water consumption does not include the amount of water consumed for maintenance (periodic washing) during use, as it is difficult to estimate and common to all decking types.

Allocation of environmental burdens to cedar decking and its co-products is done according to economic allocation principles.

Table 1. Environmental performance, 100 ft² of installed WRC decking by life cycle stage – absolute values for non-stained scenario

Impact Category	Unit	Total	Production	Construction		Use	End -of life		
			Cradle-to-gate Product Manufacturing	Transport to Customer	Installation	Use	Dismantling	Waste Transport	Disposal
			A1, A2, A3	A4	A5	B1, B2	C1	C2	C4
Global Warming	kg CO_2 eq	63.34	18.93	18.62	3.08	0.04	0.00	2.92	19.76
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	2.05E-06	1.98E-06	4.25E-09	2.45E-08	2.51E-09	0.00	6.64E-10	4.09E-08
Acidification	kg SO_2 eq	0.40	0.18	0.15	0.01	1.57E-04	0.00	0.02	0.05
Eutrophication	kg N eq	0.09	0.05	0,01	-4.05E-03	1.06E-05	0.00	1.28E-03	0.04
Smog	kg O_3 eq	10.70	4.21	4.41	0.14	1.79E-03	0.00	0.49	0.45
Total Energy	MJ eq	919.38	586.38	250.71	34.29	0.70	0.00	39.11	8.18
Fossil	MJ eq	618.76	289.69	250.30	31.58	0.42	0.00	39.05	7.72
Nuclear	MJ eq	17.02	13.97	0.11	2.33	0.22	0.00	0.02	0.37
Biomass	MJ eq	65.28	65.16	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.00	4.50E-03	0.02
Other renewable*	MJ eq	218.33	217.56	0.28	0.35	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.06
Material resource consumption									
- Non-renewable materials	kg	9.94	7.09	0.06	1.79	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.95
- Renewable materials	kg	102.30	102.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
- Fresh water	l	800.39	607.12	0.11	3.63	84.88	0.00	0.02	104.63
Waste generated									
-Hazardous waste	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-Non-hazardous waste	kg	112.52	10.22	0	3.07	0	0.00	0.00	99.23
Feedstock energy	MJ	2307.89			2307.89				

Note: *Other renewables include solar, wind, geothermal and hydro

Table 2. Environmental performance, 1 m^2 of installed WRC siding with a 50 year service life by life cycle stage – absolute values

Impact Category	Unit	Total	Production	Construction		Use	End-of-life		
			Cradle-to-gate Product Manufacturing	Transport to Customer	Installation	Use Maintenance, Reporting	Dismantling	Waste Transport	Disposal
			A1, A2, A3	A4	A5	B1, B2	C1	C2	C4
Global Warming	kg CO_2 eq	6.82	2.04	2.00	0.33	3.88E-03	0.00	0.31	2.13
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	2.21E-07	2.13E-07	4.58E-10	2.63E-09	2.71E-10	0.00	7.14E-11	4.40E-09
Acidification	kg SO_2 eq	0.04	0.02	0.02	1.51E-03	1.69E-05	0.00	1.93E-03	4.92E-03
Eutrophication	kg N eq	0.01	0.01	1.12E-03	-4.36E-04	1.15E-06	0.00	1.37E-04	4.00E-03
Smog	kg O_3 eq	1.15	0.45	0.47	0.02	1.92E-04	0.00	0.05	0.16
Total Energy	MJ eq	98.96	63.12	26.99	3.69	0.08	0.00	4.21	0.88
Fossil	MJ eq	66.61	31.18	26.94	3.40	0.05	0.00	4.20	0.83
Nuclear	MJ eq	6.82	1.50	0.01	0.25	0.02	0.00	1.76E-03	0.04
Biomass	MJ eq	7.03	7.01	3.10E-03	3.40E-03	3.17E-03	0.00	4.84E-04	2.59E-03
Other renewable*	MJ eq	23.50	23.42	0.03	0.04	3.32E-03	0.00	4.74E-03	0.01
Material resource consumption									
-Non-renewable materials	kg	0.45	0.43	1.96E-03	2.24E-03	2.17E-06	0.00	3.09E-04	0.02
Renewable materials	kg	11.01	11.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fresh water	l	86.16	65.35	0.01	0.39	9.14	0.00	1.93E-03	11.26
Waste generated									
-Hazardous waste	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-Non-hazardous waste	kg	12.11	1.10	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.68
Feedstock energy	MJ	248.43	-	-	248.43	-	-	-	-

*Note: *Other renewables include solar, wind, geothermal and hydro*

Table 3. Environmental performance, decking with regular applications of stain – absolute values

Impact category	Unit	Per 100 ft² installed decking	Per 1 m² installed decking
Global warming	kg CO_2 eq	76.88	8.28
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	4.16E-06	4.48E-07
Acidification	kg SO_2 eq	0.47	0.05
Eutrophication	kg N eq	0.12	0.01
Smog	kg O_3 eq	11.49	1.24
Total energy	MJ	1251.45	134.71
Non-renewable, fossil	MJ	905.39	97.46
Non-renewable, nuclear	MJ	54.00	5.81
Renewable, biomass	MJ	66.98	7.21
Other renewable**	MJ	225.09	24.23
Material resource consumption			
-Non-renewable materials	kg	12.09	1.30
-Renewable materials	kg	102.30	11.01
-Fresh water	l	57.95	6.24
Waste generated			
-Hazardous waste	kg	0.00	0.00
-Non-hazardous waste	kg	112.52	12.11
Feedstock energy	MJ*	2307.89	248.43

*Note: *Higher heating value (HHV) basis*

Table 4. Environmental impacts per 1 m^2 of installed WRC decking calculated using CML 2 Baseline 2000 method calculated for the non-stained scenario

Impact Category	Unit	Total	Production	Construction		Use	End-of-life		
			Cradle-to-gate Product	Transport to Customer	Installation	Use	Dismantling	Waste Transport	Disposal
			A1, A2, A3	A4	A5	B1, B2	C1	C2	C4
Abiotic depletion	kg Sb eq	1.05E-06	9.37E-07	2.03E-09	3.18E-08	1.42E-08	0.00	3.17E-10	6.02E-08
Abiotic depletion (fossil fuels)	kg Sb eq	0.03	0.01	0.01	1.65E-03	2.61E-05	0.00	1.86E-03	4.03E-07
Global warming (GWP100a)	kg CO_2 eq	7.38	2.05	2.02	0.33	3.92E-03	0.00	0.32	2.66
Ozone layer depletion (ODP)	kg CFC-11 eq	1.78E-07	1.72E-07	3.41E-10	2.12E-09	2.24E-10	0.00	5.32E-11	3.33E-09
Human toxicity	kg 1,4-DB eq	3.16	0.98	1.57	0.12	1.03E-03	0.00	0.24	0.25
Fresh water aquatic ecotoxicity.	kg 1,4-DB eq	1.92	0.64	0.61	0.52	5.69E-04	0.00	0.09	0.25
Marine aquatic ecotoxicity	kg 1,4-DB eq	4746.04	1841.33	2127.94	363.28	2.45	0.00	331.99	79.03
Terrestrial ecotoxicity	kg 1,4-DB eq	0.01	0.01	1.09E-04	2.22E-03	3.39E-05	0.00	1.70E-05	7.97E-04
Photochemical oxidation	kg C_2H_4 eq	2.94E-03	5.76E-04	4.80E-04	1.37E-04	1.07E-06	0.00	7.05E-05	1.68E-03
Acidification	kg SO_2 eq	0.04	0.02	0.01	1.60E-03	1.73E-05	0.00	1.58E-03	3.77E-03
Eutrophication	kg PO_4--- eq	0.01	4.28E-03	2.59E-03	-1.10E-04	1.35E-06	0.00	2.96E-04	2.08E-03
Total non-renewable energy	MJ	68.44	32.69	26.95	3.65	0.07	0.00	4.21	0.87
Total renewable energy	MJ	30.53	30.43	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
Fresh water use	l	800.39	607.12	0.11	3.63	84.88	0.00	0.02	104.63
Waste, non-hazardous	kg	112.52	10.22	0	3.07	0	0.00	0.00	99.23
Waste, hazardous	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

*Note: *Abiotic fuel conversion 4.81E-04 kg Sb/MJ*

Additional Information

Sustainable forestry

Western red cedar products from WRCLA members come from forests that are independently certified as legal and sustainable.

Carbon Balance

The carbon that is part of the molecular composition of wood is derived from carbon dioxide removed from the atmosphere by the growing tree that produced the wood; this carbon is often a consideration in greenhouse gas calculations and carbon footprints for wood products. The GWP measure accounts for the carbon stored in the product in use and the product in the landfill, and all carbon emissions throughout the product life cycle. A negative number indicates a net climate change benefit (a greenhouse gas removal); a positive number is a net greenhouse gas emission. The decking caries negative carbon balance at the end-of-life, meaning that decking has sequestered more carbon than life cycle carbon dioxide emissions. In other words, stored carbon in decking is still available to mitigate carbon footprint of buildings.

	kg of CO_2 eq.
Forest carbon uptake	-193.33
Life cycle GHG emissions	+63.34
Unaccounted biogenic carbon emissions in GWP reporting	+73.21
Net GWP	-56.78

Note: *Carbon content in cedar 51.54% on oven dry basis (Lamlom and Savidge, 2003)





Table 5. Environmental impacts per 1 m2 of installed WRC decking with regular application of stain calculated using CML 2 Baseline 2000 method

Impact Category	Unit	Total
Abiotic depletion	kg Sb eq	4.37E-06
Abiotic depletion (fossil fuels)*	kg Sb eq	0.05
Global warming (GWP100a)	kg CO_2 eq	8.86
Ozone layer depletion (ODP)	kg CFC-11 eq	3.72E-07
Human toxicity	kg 1,4-DB eq	3.55
Fresh water aquatic ecotoxicity.	kg 1,4-DB eq	2.23
Marine aquatic ecotoxicity	kg 1,4-DB eq	5640.00
Terrestrial ecotoxicity	kg 1,4-DB eq	0.01
Photochemical oxidation	kg C_2H4 eq	3.45E-03
Acidification	kg SO_2 eq	0.04
Eutrophication	kg PO4--- eq	0.01
Total non-renewable energy	MJ	97.46
Total renewable energy	MJ	5.81
Fresh water use	l	6.24
Waste, non-hazardous	kg	112.52
Waste, hazardous	kg	0.00

Note: *Abiotic fuel conversion 4.81E-04 kg Sb/MJ

Glossary

Primary Energy Consumption

Primary energy is the total energy consumed by a process including energy production and delivery losses. Energy is reported in megajoules (MJ).

Global Warming Potential

This impact category refers to the potential change in the earth's climate due to accumulation of greenhouse gases and subsequent trapping of heat from reflected sunlight that would otherwise have passed out of the earth's atmosphere. Greenhouse gas refers to several different gases including carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O). For global warming potential, these gas emissions are tracked and their potencies reported in terms of equivalent units of CO_2.

Acidification Potential

Acidification refers to processes that increase the acidity of water and soil systems as measured by hydrogen ion concentrations (H+) and are often manifested as acid rain. Damage to plant and animal ecosystems can result, as well as corrosive effects on buildings, monuments and historical artifacts. Atmospheric emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and sulphur dioxide (SO_2) are the main agents affecting these processes. Acidification potential is reported in terms of H^+ mole equivalent per kilogram of emission.

Eutrophication Potential

Eutrophication is the fertilization of surface waters by nutrients that were previously scarce, leading to a proliferation of aquatic photosynthetic plant life which may then lead to further consequences including foul odor or taste, loss of aquatic life, or production of toxins. Eutrophication is caused by excessive emissions to water of phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N). This impact category is reported in units of N equivalent.

Smog Potential

Photochemical smog is the chemical reaction of sunlight, nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the atmosphere. Ground-level ozone is an indicator, and NO_x emissions are a key driver in the creation of ground-level ozone. This impact indicator is reported in units of O_3equivalent.

Ozone Depletion Potential

This impact category addresses the reduction of protective ozone within the atmosphere caused by emissions of ozone-depleting substances such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). Reduction in ozone in the stratosphere leads to increased ultraviolet-B radiation reaching earth, which can have human health impacts as well as damage crops, materials and marine life. Ozone depletion potential is reported in units of equivalent CFC-11.

Feedstock Energy

Heat of combustion of a material input that is not used as an energy source to the product system, expressed in terms of higher heating value (HHV).

Freshwater use

Use of freshwater that requires human removal from a natural body of water or groundwater aquifer.

Source: Bare et al, 2003.

References

Bare, J. C., McKone, T., Norris, G. A., Pennington, D. W. 2003. TRACI: The Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and Other Environmental Impacts. Journal of Industrial Ecology, Vol. 6
No. 3-4.

Bratkovich, S, Howe, J., Bowyer, J. Pepke, E, Frank, M. and Fernholz, K., 2014. Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) and Construction and Demolition (C&D) Wood Waste Generation and Recovery in the United States. Dovetail Partners Inc. http://www.dovetailinc.org/reports/MSW+and+C%26D+Wood+Waste+Generation+and+Recovery+in+the+U.S._n628?prefix=%2Freports

Doka, G. 2007. Life Cycle Inventories of Waste Treatment Services. Ecoinvent report No. 13, Swiss Centre for Life Cycle Inventories, Dubendorf, December 2007.

Lamlom and Savidge, 2003. A Reassessment of Carbon Content in Wood: Variation within and between 41 North American Species. Biomass and Bioenergy, 25: pp. 381-388.

ISO 14025:2006. Environmental labels and declarations – Type III environmental declarations. International Standards Organization.

ISO 21930:2007. Environmental labels and declarations – Sustainability in building construction. Environmental declaration of building products. International Standards Organization.

Themelis, N. and P. Ulloa.. 2007. Methane generation in landfills. Renewable Energy. 32: P.1243-1257.

USEPA. 2009. Estimating 2003 building-related construction and demolition materials amounts. https://archive.epa.gov/region9/buildingreuse/web/pdf/cd-meas.pdf

About this EPD

PCR: North American Structural and Architectural Wood Products, UNCPC 31, NAICS 321, v2. June 2015.
Prepared by FPInnovations and available at www.fpinnovations.ca. PCR panel chaired by Thomas P. Gloria.

Explanatory materials on the background LCA can be found at www.realcedar.com/why-real-cedar/sustainability

Program Operator:

FPInnovations
Wood Products Division
Energy and Environment Group
2665 East Mall
Vancouver, BC, V6T 1W5 Canada
1 (604) 224-3221
www.fpinnovations.ca

EPD Owner:

Western Red Cedar Lumber Association
1501 – 700 West Pender Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 1G8
Canada
1 (866) 778-9096
www.wrcla.org

Independant verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025

☐ internal ☒ external

Third Party Verifier:

Thomas P.Gloria, Ph.D.,
Industrial Ecology Consultants
35 Bracebridge Rd.
Newton, MA 02459-1728
1 (617) 553-4929
www.industrial-ecology.com

Cradle-to-grave LCA results can be used for comparison between different EPDs provided products and systems have been assessed on the basis of the same function, quantified by the same functional unit in the form of their service life reference flows. EPDs from different programs may not be comparable.

EPDs do not address all issues of relevance to sustainability.

Type II environmental product declarations intended for buisness-to-consumer communication shall be available to the consumer at the point of purchase.

Re-Issued: February 2018
Valid until: February 2023

FPInnovations®

WESTERN RED CEDAR LUMBER ASSOCIATION
REAL CEDAR
WRCLA